



ELSEVIER

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 132 (1998) 309–315

---

---

**JOURNAL OF  
PURE AND  
APPLIED ALGEBRA**

---

---

## On generators of polynomial algebras in two commuting or non-commuting variables

Vladimir Shpilrain<sup>a</sup>, Jie-Tai Yu<sup>b,\*</sup><sup>a</sup>*Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA*<sup>b</sup>*Department of Mathematics, University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong*

Communicated by J. Rhodes; received 13 September 1996; received in revised form 30 April 1997

---

### Abstract

An element of a free associative algebra  $A_2 = K\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$  is called primitive if it is an automorphic image of  $x_1$ . We address the problem of detecting primitive elements of  $A_2$ : we present an algorithm that distinguishes primitive elements, and also give a couple of very handy necessary conditions for primitivity that allow one to rule out many sorts of non-primitive elements of  $A_2$  just by inspection. We also give a structural description of the automorphism groups  $\text{Aut}(A_2)$  and  $\text{Aut}(P_2)$  (where  $P_2 = K[x_1, x_2]$  is the polynomial algebra in two variables over the same ground field  $K$ ) which is different from previously known descriptions. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

*AMS Classification:* Primary 16W20; secondary 13B25; 17C05

---

### 1. Introduction

Let  $P_2 = K[x_1, x_2]$  be the polynomial algebra of rank 2 over a field  $K$ , and  $A_2 = K\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$  the free associative algebra of rank 2 over the same ground field.

It is well known that the automorphism groups  $\text{Aut}(P_2)$  and  $\text{Aut}(A_2)$  are isomorphic, an isomorphism  $\text{Aut}(A_2) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(P_2)$  being just the natural abelianization. This is due to Makar–Limanov [7] (for  $K = \mathbb{C}$ ) and Czerniakiewicz [3] (for an arbitrary ground field). See also [2, Theorem 9.3].

Furthermore, there is a description of the group  $\text{Aut}(P_2)$  as a free product with amalgamation due to Shafarevich [9]; see also [2, Theorem 8.6, 5, 12] and references thereto.

---

\* Corresponding author. E-mail: [yujt@hkusua.hku.hk](mailto:yujt@hkusua.hku.hk).

<sup>†</sup> Partially supported by RGC Fundable Grant 344/024/0001 and CRCG Grant 337/024/0001.

All these results reduce the structure of the group  $\text{Aut}(P_2)$  to that of smaller groups of automorphisms. In this note, we show that a simple argument leads to a somewhat different group-theoretic description of  $\text{Aut}(P_2)$  (hence of  $\text{Aut}(A_2)$ ) – we use the additive group of the ground field  $K$  as a “building block”, and then apply various group-theoretic constructions.

We call an automorphism  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(P_2)$  an *IL-automorphism* if it is Identical on the Linear part, i.e., if it takes  $x_i$  to  $x_i + p_i$ , where the polynomials  $p_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , do not have monomials of degree less than 2. A similar definition applies to automorphisms of  $A_2$ . Denote the groups of IL-automorphisms of  $P_2$  and  $A_2$  by  $\text{Aut}_{\text{IL}}(P_2)$  and  $\text{Aut}_{\text{IL}}(A_2)$ , respectively. The subgroup  $\text{Aut}_{\text{IL}}^e(P_2)$  (or  $\text{Aut}_{\text{IL}}^e(A_2)$ ) is generated by *elementary* IL-automorphisms of the form  $\{x_1 \rightarrow x_1 + f(x_2); x_2 \rightarrow x_2\}$  and  $\{x_1 \rightarrow x_1; x_2 \rightarrow x_2 + f(x_1)\}$ , where the one-variable polynomials  $f$  do not have monomials of degree less than 2.

Furthermore, let  $\text{Aut}^0(P_2)$  (respectively,  $\text{Aut}^0(A_2)$ ) denote the group of *augmentation-preserving* automorphisms of  $P_2$  (or  $A_2$ ); these are automorphisms of the form  $x_i \rightarrow x_i + p_i$ , where polynomials  $p_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , have zero constant terms. Then we have the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $K$  be an arbitrary ground field. The group  $\text{Aut}^0(A_2)$  is a semidirect product of  $\text{Aut}_{\text{IL}}(A_2)$  and  $\text{GL}_2(K)$  (the subgroup  $\text{Aut}_{\text{IL}}(A_2)$  being normal in  $\text{Aut}^0(A_2)$ , and  $\text{GL}_2(K)$  a retract). The group  $\text{Aut}_{\text{IL}}(A_2)$  is the normal closure (in the group  $\text{Aut}^0(A_2)$ ) of  $\text{Aut}_{\text{IL}}^e(A_2)$ . This latter group is isomorphic to the free product  $(K^+)^{\infty} \star (K^+)^{\infty}$ , where  $(K^+)^{\infty}$  is the direct sum of countably many copies of the additive group  $K^+$  of the field  $K$ .*

All these statements remain valid upon replacing  $A_2$  with  $P_2$ . Thus, group-theoretic properties of the groups  $\text{Aut}_{\text{IL}}^e(P_2)$  and  $\text{Aut}_{\text{IL}}^e(A_2)$  (and these are the main building blocks of  $\text{Aut}(P_2)$  and  $\text{Aut}(A_2)$ , respectively) are determined (to some extent) by properties of the additive group of the ground field  $K$  which is usually well understood.

Our further goal is to distinguish primitive elements of the algebra  $A_2$  (an element  $u \in A_2$  is called *primitive* if it is an automorphic image of  $x_1$ ; or, in other words, if there is a generating set  $\{u, v\}$  of  $A_2$ ).

Based on the aforementioned isomorphism between  $\text{Aut}(P_2)$  and  $\text{Aut}(A_2)$ , and also on our recent result [11] on detecting generators of  $P_2$ , we are able to prove the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** *There is an algorithm that distinguishes primitive elements of the algebra  $A_2$  over a field of characteristic 0.*

Here we assume that we are able to perform calculations in the ground field  $K$ , which basically means that, given two elements of  $K$ , we can decide whether or not they are equal.

Note that there is a very simple “commutator test” for deciding if a given *pair* of elements generates the algebra  $A_2$ , see [4]. The problem of distinguishing primitive elements is obviously more difficult, yet our algorithm itself is fairly simple.

Furthermore, driven by the desire to reveal *non-primitivity* of an element of  $A_2$  just by inspection, we present a couple of very transparent *necessary* conditions for an element of  $A_2$  to be primitive.

Denote by  $J_2$  the *free special Jordan algebra* of rank 2. This is a (non-associative) unital  $K$ -algebra generated by the elements  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  of  $A_2$  with respect to the binary operation  $x \circ y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$ . To avoid a restriction  $\text{char } K \neq 2$ , one can consider a somewhat less user-friendly definition of  $J_2$  upon replacing the binary operation given above by two operations:  $x \rightarrow x^2$  and  $(x, y) \rightarrow xyx$ .

Then we have the following.

**Proposition 1.3.** *For an arbitrary ground field  $K$ :*

- (i) *The algebra  $J_2$  is invariant under any automorphism of  $A_2$ .*
- (ii) *The group  $\text{Aut}(J_2)$  is isomorphic to the group  $\text{Aut}(A_2)$  (and, consequently, to  $\text{Aut}(P_2)$ ).*

**Corollary 1.4.** *If  $u \in A_2$  is a primitive element of  $A_2$ , then  $u \in J_2$ .*

This Corollary gives a very convenient criterion for an element of  $A_2$  to be primitive. Indeed, elements of  $J_2$  are characterized among the elements of  $A_2$  as follows (see [1] or [6]). Define an anti-automorphism  $\leftarrow$  of  $A_2$  which re-writes every monomial backwards. For example,  $(x_1x_2)^\leftarrow = x_2x_1$ ;  $(x_1x_2x_1x_2^2)^\leftarrow = x_2^2x_1x_2x_1$ , etc. Then  $\leftarrow$  is extended to the whole  $A_2$  by linearity. The elements  $u \in A_2$  for which  $u^\leftarrow = u$ , are called *palindromic*. Then we have [1]

an element  $u \in A_2$  belongs to  $J_2$  if and only if it is palindromic.

Thus, our Corollary 1.4 gives a very convenient necessary (but not sufficient) condition for primitivity.

**Corollary 1.5.** *Primitive elements of  $A_2$  are palindromic. (Which means, incidentally, that every homogeneous component of a primitive element is palindromic.)*

This condition is quite sensitive since the algebra  $J_2$  is very small compared to the enveloping algebra  $A_2$ .

We give here one more necessary condition for primitivity in  $A_2$  based on a result of [10]. Denote by  $\Delta$  the augmentation ideal of  $A_2$ , i.e., the set of elements without constant terms. Every element  $u \in \Delta$  has a unique expression of the form  $u = d_1(u) \cdot x_1 + d_2(u) \cdot x_2$  (see e.g. [2]). The elements  $d_i(u)$  are called (partial) Fox derivatives of  $u$ . Then we have the following.

**Proposition 1.6.** *If  $u \in \Delta$  is a primitive element of  $A_2$ , then*

$$d_2(u) \cdot (d_1(u))^\leftarrow = d_1(u) \cdot (d_2(u))^\leftarrow.$$

*In other words, the element  $d_2(u) \cdot (d_1(u))^\leftarrow$  is palindromic.*

This condition is also not sufficient for primitivity, but it complements the condition of Corollary 1.5 nicely. For example, the element  $x_1 + x_1x_2 + x_2x_1$  passes the test of Corollary 1.5, but not of Proposition 1.6.

## 2. Preliminaries

We start by fixing some notation. For an automorphism  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(P_2)$  that takes  $x_i$  to  $p_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , the Jacobian matrix is defined as follows:  $J_\varphi = (d_j(p_i))_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$ , where  $d_j$  is “usual” Leibnitz partial derivation.

Similarly, if  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(A_2)$  takes  $x_i$  to  $u_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $J_\varphi = (d_j(u_i))_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$ , but this time,  $d_j$  denotes partial Fox derivation. (We use the same notation for Leibnitz and Fox derivations without ambiguity.)

There is a useful product rule for the Jacobian matrices (it is the same in the commutative and the non-commutative situation)

$$J_{\varphi\psi} = \psi(J_\varphi) \cdot J_\psi. \quad (1)$$

(When we write a product  $\varphi\psi$ , that means  $\psi$  is applied first. When we write  $\psi(J_\varphi)$ , that means  $\psi$  is applied to each entry of  $J_\varphi$ .)

We are going to need some more background on Fox derivatives (a general reference here is [2]).

The augmentation ideal  $\Delta$  of the algebra  $A_2$  is a free left and right  $A_2$ -module with a free basis  $(x_1, x_2)$ , so that for any  $u \in \Delta$ , there is a unique expression of the form  $u = d_1(u) \cdot x_1 + d_2(u) \cdot x_2$  as well as of the form  $u = x_1 \cdot D_1(u) + x_2 \cdot D_2(u)$ . The elements  $D_j(u)$  are called right Fox derivatives of  $u$ , and  $d_j(u)$  (left) Fox derivatives.

One can extend these derivations linearly to the whole  $A_2$  by setting  $D_i(1) = d_i(1) = 0$ .

Then the result of [10] yields the following.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $u$  be a primitive element of  $A_2$ . Then  $d_2(u) \cdot D_1(u) - d_1(u) \cdot D_2(u) = 0$ .*

**Proof.** It was proved in [10] that for an automorphism  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(A_2)$  that takes  $x_i$  to  $y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , one has

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_2(y_2) & -D_2(y_1) \\ -D_1(y_2) & D_1(y_1) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d_1(y_1) & d_2(y_1) \\ d_1(y_2) & d_2(y_2) \end{pmatrix} = c \cdot I,$$

where  $c \in K^*$ , and  $I$  is the identity matrix.

It follows from a result of Cohn [2] (every right-invertible square matrix over a free ideal ring is also left invertible) that

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_1(y_1) & d_2(y_1) \\ d_1(y_2) & d_2(y_2) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} D_2(y_2) & -D_2(y_1) \\ -D_1(y_2) & D_1(y_1) \end{pmatrix} = c \cdot I,$$

whence  $d_1(y_1) \cdot (-D_2(y_1)) + d_2(y_1) \cdot D_1(y_1) = 0$ . This proves the claim.  $\square$

We also need Nagao’s theorem [8] (see also [12]):

**Theorem 2.2** (Nagao [8]).  $GL_2(K[t]) = GL_2(K) \star_{UT_2(K)} UT_2(K[t])$ , where  $K[t]$  is the polynomial algebra in one variable  $t$  over  $K$ , and  $UT_2$  is a group of  $2 \times 2$  upper triangular matrices. The statement is also valid upon replacing the upper triangular group with the lower triangular group.

### 3. Proofs

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We start with the first statement. It is straightforward to verify that  $Aut_{IL}(A_2)$  is a normal subgroup of  $Aut^0(A_2)$ . Also, it is obvious that the intersection of  $Aut_{IL}(A_2)$  with the group of linear automorphisms is trivial. This means the group  $Aut^0(A_2)$  is a semidirect product of  $Aut_{IL}(A_2)$  and  $GL_2(K)$ . (The fact that  $Aut^0(A_2)$  is the product of those two subgroups, follows from the results of [3].)

It follows that every automorphism  $\varphi \in Aut^0(A_2)$  can be written in the form  $\varphi = \lambda\psi$ , where  $\lambda$  is a linear automorphism, and  $\psi \in Aut_{IL}(A_2)$ .

On the other hand, by the results of [3], every automorphism  $\varphi \in Aut^0(A_2)$  can be written as a product of linear automorphisms and elementary automorphisms of the form  $\{x_1 \rightarrow x_1 + c \cdot x_2^m; x_2 \rightarrow x_2\}$  and  $\{x_1 \rightarrow x_1; x_2 \rightarrow x_2 + c \cdot x_1^m\}$  for all possible  $c \in K$  and  $m \geq 2$ . These elementary automorphisms clearly belong to the group  $Aut_{IL}^e(A_2)$ . Now a standard re-writing process (based on the equality  $abc = b(b^{-1}ab)c = ba^bc$ ) in combination with what is said in the previous paragraph, proves the second statement of Theorem 1.1.

Now, we are going to prove the claim about the group  $G = Aut_{IL}^e(A_2)$ .

Denote two copies of  $(K^+)^{\infty}$  by  $A$  and  $B$ , and their natural components by  $\{A_2, A_3, \dots\}$  and  $\{B_2, B_3, \dots\}$ , respectively (we deliberately start with index 2 for subsequent notational convenience). All the groups  $A_k$  and  $B_k$  are isomorphic to the group  $K^+$ .

We are now going to define a mapping  $\tau$  from  $A \star B$  into  $G$  on these components; the fact that a mapping like that can be extended to a homomorphism of groups, follows from the universal properties of the group-theoretic constructions involved.

Let  $\tau$  take  $a_i \in A_i$  to the following automorphism  $\alpha_i: x_1 \rightarrow x_1 + a_i \cdot x_2^i; x_2 \rightarrow x_2$ . Then, let  $\tau$  take  $b_i \in B_i$  to the automorphism  $\beta_i: x_1 \rightarrow x_1; x_2 \rightarrow x_2 + b_i \cdot x_1^i$ . Everywhere,  $i \geq 2$ .

First we prove that  $\tau$  is injective. By way of contradiction, suppose, say,  $\hat{\alpha}_1 \hat{\beta}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \hat{\alpha}_k \hat{\beta}_k = id$ , where  $\hat{\alpha}_i$  (or  $\hat{\beta}_i$ ) is a product of finitely many  $\alpha_{i_j}$  (or  $\beta_{i_j}$ ), and  $id$  is the identity mapping. We assume that all  $\hat{\alpha}_i, \hat{\beta}_i$  are non-identity mappings.

Then applying the product rule (1) for the Jacobian matrices yields

$$\psi_1(J_{\hat{\alpha}_1}) \cdot \psi_2(J_{\hat{\beta}_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot \psi_k(J_{\hat{\alpha}_k}) \cdot J_{\hat{\beta}_k} = I, \quad (2)$$

where  $\psi_j \in Aut_{IL}^e(A_2)$  are appropriate automorphisms (of no particular importance to us), and  $I$  is the identity matrix.

All the matrices  $\psi_j(J_{\hat{\alpha}_i})$  are obviously upper triangular, and the matrices  $\psi_j(J_{\hat{\beta}_i})$  are lower triangular. Furthermore, none of them is the identity matrix, and, moreover, none of them belongs to the group  $UT_2(K)$  since none of the  $J_{\hat{\alpha}_i}, J_{\hat{\beta}_i}$  does.

Consider now the abelianization  $x_1 \rightarrow t$ ;  $x_2 \rightarrow t$ . An abelianized matrix  $\psi_j(J_{\hat{\alpha}_i})^a$  or  $\psi_j(J_{\hat{\beta}_i})^a$  does not belong to  $UT_2(K)$  unless it is the identity matrix.

Indeed, any off-diagonal entry in a matrix  $\psi_j(J_{\hat{\alpha}_i})$ , say, has the form  $\psi_j(f(x_2))$ , where  $f$  is some (non-constant!) one-variable polynomial, so that  $\psi_j(f(x_2))^a = f(\psi_j(x_2)^a) \notin K$  since  $\psi_j(x_2)$  must be either non-constant or zero, so its abelianization is either non-constant or zero, too.

Applying Nagao's theorem (see Theorem 2.2) to the abelianized equality (2) yields a contradiction (note that  $J_{\hat{\beta}_k} \neq I$  in (2)) which completes the proof of  $\tau$  being injective.

The fact that  $\tau$  is surjective follows from the very definition of the group  $Aut_{\mathbb{L}}^e(A_2)$ . Thus,  $\tau$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

The corresponding statements about automorphisms of  $P_2$  can now be easily deduced from the fact that the groups  $Aut^0(P_2)$  and  $Aut^0(A_2)$  are naturally isomorphic. We omit the details.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let  $u \in A_2$ . Denote by  $u^a \in P_2$  the abelianization of  $u$ . If  $u^a$  is not a coordinate polynomial of  $P_2$ , then  $u$  is obviously not a primitive element of  $A_2$ . Note that we can decide whether or not  $u^a$  is coordinate using a (very simple) algorithm from [11]. This latter algorithm was constructed only in the situation when  $\text{char } K = 0$  – that is why we need this restriction here.

Let  $u^a$  be a coordinate polynomial of  $P_2$ . Using again a procedure from [11], we can find a sequence of elementary automorphisms that takes  $x_1$  to  $u^a$ . Apply the same sequence to  $x_1$ , but in the algebra  $A_2$  (we identify elementary automorphisms of  $P_2$  and  $A_2$  by means of the natural isomorphism mentioned in the Introduction). If we arrive at the element  $u$ , then  $u$  is obviously primitive. What is not so obvious, is what happens if we arrive at a different element, call it  $v$ .

We are going to show now that if  $v \neq u$ , then  $u$  is not primitive in  $A_2$ . By way of contradiction, suppose  $u$  is primitive. Let  $\psi \in Aut(A_2)$  take  $x_1$  to  $u$ . Furthermore, let  $\varphi(x_1) = v$  in  $A_2$ , so that  $\varphi(x_1) = u^a$  in  $P_2$  (we use the same letter for an automorphism  $\varphi \in Aut(A_2)$  and its natural image in  $Aut(P_2)$ ).

Since  $u^a = v^a$ , this yields  $\varphi(x_1) = \psi(x_1)$  in  $P_2$ . By [2, Theorem 8.5], this implies  $\varphi = \psi\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in Aut(P_2)$  of the form  $\{x_1 \rightarrow x_1; x_2 \rightarrow x_2 + f(x_1)\}$ . This means  $\varphi = \psi\alpha$  also in  $Aut(A_2)$ .

But  $\alpha$ , as well as its fellow-automorphism of  $A_2$ , does not change  $x_1$ , hence  $\psi(\alpha((x_1))) = \psi(x_1)$  both in  $P_2$  and  $A_2$ . Therefore, we have in  $A_2$ :  $v = \varphi(x_1) = \psi(\alpha((x_1))) = \psi(x_1) = u$ , a contradiction.

Therefore,  $u$  was not primitive in  $A_2$ , and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 1.3.** (i) Since any automorphism of  $A_2$  respects the operations of  $J_2$ , it is sufficient to show that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are carried into  $J_2$  by any linear automorphism of  $A_2$  and by any automorphism of the form  $\{x_1 \rightarrow x_1 + cx_2^k; x_2 \rightarrow x_2\}$  and  $\{x_1 \rightarrow x_1; x_2 \rightarrow x_2 + cx_1^k\}$ ,  $k \geq 2$ ,  $c \in K$ .

For linear automorphisms, this is obvious since any linear automorphism carries the  $K$ -linear span of  $\{x_1, x_2\}$  into itself.

For other automorphisms above this is clear, too, since  $x_1^k, x_2^k \in J_2$  for any  $k$ .

(ii) As we have just seen, every automorphism of  $A_2$  induces an automorphism of  $J_2$ ; this mapping is obviously injective. Conversely, if  $\varphi$  is an automorphism of  $J_2$ , then  $\varphi(x_1)$  and  $\varphi(x_2)$  generate the algebra  $J_2$ , hence they also generate  $A_2$ . Therefore,  $\varphi$  is induced by an automorphism of  $A_2$ . The result follows.  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 1.6.** We are going to show that there is the following “mirror symmetry” between left and right Fox derivatives for any  $u \in A_2$  (it actually holds in a free associative algebra of arbitrary rank)

$$D_i(u^-) = (d_i(u))^{-}. \quad (3)$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume  $u \in A$ , so let  $u = \sum d_i(u) \cdot x_i$ . Then  $u^- = \sum x_i \cdot (d_i(u))^{-}$ , hence  $(d_i(u))^{-} = D_i(u^-)$  proving the equality (3).  $\square$

Combining (3) with Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 1.5 yields the result.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Andrew Campbell and to the referee for helpful comments.

## References

- [1] P.M. Cohn, On homomorphic images of special Jordan algebras, *Can. J. Math.* 6 (1954) 253–264.
- [2] P.M. Cohn, *Free Rings and Their Relations*, Academic Press, New York, 1985.
- [3] A.J. Czerniakiewicz, Automorphisms of a free associative algebra of rank 2, I, II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 160 (1971) 393–401; 171 (1972) 309–315.
- [4] W. Dicks, A commutator test for two elements to generate the free algebra of rank two, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 14 (1982) 48–51.
- [5] W. Dicks, Automorphisms of the polynomial ring in two variables, *Publ. Sec. Mat. Univ. Autonoma Barcelona* 27 (1983) 155–162.
- [6] N. Jacobson, *Structure and Representation of Jordan Algebras*, Colloq. Publ. 39, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1968.
- [7] L.G. Makar-Limanov, On automorphisms of free algebra with two generators, *Funk. Analiz i ego Prilozh.* 4 (1970) (3), 107–108 (Russian).
- [8] H. Nagao, On  $GL(2, K[x])$ , *J. Inst. Polytech. Osaka City Univ. Ser. A* 10 (1959) 117–121.
- [9] I.R. Shafarevich, On some infinite-dimensional groups, *Rend. Mat. Appl.* 25 (1966) 208–212.
- [10] V. Shpilrain, An inverse function theorem for free associative algebras of rank two, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 83 (1992) 23–26.
- [11] V. Shpilrain, J.-T. Yu, Polynomial automorphisms and Gröbner reduction, preprint.
- [12] D. Wright, The amalgamated free product structure of  $GL_2(k[X_1, \dots, X_n])$  and the weak Jacobian theorem for two variables, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 12 (1978) 235–251.